

Contents

**16th International ISKO Conference
(Aalborg, Denmark, 6-8 July 2020)**..... 103

**General Assembly July 2020.
Provisional Agenda** 104

Articles

Wie Wei, Yi-Ping Lin and Lei-Ru Wei.
Feature-Level Sentiment Analysis Based on Rules
and Fine-Grained Domain Ontology..... 105

Carlos H. Marcondes.
Towards a Vocabulary to Implement Culturally
Relevant Relationships Between Digital Collections
in Heritage Institutions 122

Prasant Kumar Sinha and Biswanath Dutta.
A Systematic Analysis of Flood Ontologies:
A Parametric Approach 138

Marcin Roszkowski.
The Sociological and Ontological Dimensions of
the Knowledge Organization Domain on Google
Scholar Citations..... 160

Reviews of Concepts in Knowledge Organization

Peter Ohly.
Ingetraut Dahlberg (1927-2017)173

Heather Dunn and Paul Bourcier.
Nomenclature for Museum Cataloging.....183

Books Recently Published 195

Contents pages

Wei, Wei, Yi-Ping Liu and Lei-Ru Wei. 2020. “Feature-level Sentiment Analysis Based on Rules and Fine-Grained Domain Ontology.” *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 105-121. 44 references. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-105.

Abstract: Mining product reviews and sentiment analysis are of great significance, whether for academic research purposes or optimizing business strategies. We propose a feature-level sentiment analysis framework based on rules parsing and fine-grained domain ontology for Chinese reviews. Fine-grained ontology is used to describe synonymous expressions of product features, which are reflected in word changes in online reviews. First, a semiautomatic construction method is developed by using Word2Vec for fine-grained ontology. Then, feature-level sentiment analysis that combines rules parsing and the fine-grained domain ontology is conducted to extract explicit and implicit features from product reviews. Finally, the domain sentiment dictionary and context sentiment dictionary are established to identify sentiment polarities for the extracted feature-sentiment combinations. An experiment is conducted on the basis of product reviews crawled from Chinese e-commerce websites. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach.

Marcondes, Carlos H. 2020. “Towards a Vocabulary to Implement Culturally Relevant Relationships between Digital Collections in Heritage Institutions.” *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 122-137. 44 references. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-122.

Abstract: Cultural heritage institutions are publishing their digital collections over the web as LOD. This is a new step in the patrimonialization and curatorial processes developed by such institutions. Many of these collections are thematically superimposed and complementary. Frequently, objects in these collections present culturally relevant relationships, such as a book about a painting, or a draft or sketch of a famous painting, etc. LOD technology enables such heritage records to be interlinked, achieving interoperability and adding value to digital collections, thus empowering heritage institutions. An aim of this research is characterizing such culturally relevant relationships and organizing them in a vocabulary. Use cases or examples of relationships between objects suggested by curators or mentioned in literature and in the conceptual models as FRBR/LRM, CIDOC CRM and RiC-CM, were collected and used as examples or inspiration of cultural relevant relationships. Relationships identified are collocated and compared for identifying those with the same or similar meaning, synthesized and normalized. A set of thirty-three culturally relevant relationships are identified and formalized as a LOD property vocabulary to be used by digital curators to interlink digital collections. The results presented are provisional and a starting point to be discussed, tested, and enhanced.

Sinha, Prashant Kumar and Biswanath Dutta. 2020. “A Systematic Analysis of Flood Ontologies: A Parametric Approach.” *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 138-159. 63 references. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-138.

Abstract: The article identifies the core literature available on flood ontologies and presents a review on these ontologies from various perspectives like its purpose, type, design methodologies, ontologies (re)used, and also their focus on specific flood disaster phases. The study was conducted in two stages: i) literature identification, where the systematic literature review methodology was employed; and, ii) ontological review, where the parametric approach was applied. The study resulted in a set of fourteen papers discussing the flood ontology (FO). The ontological review revealed that most of the flood ontologies were task ontologies, formal, modular, and used web ontology language (OWL) for their representation. The most (re)used ontologies were SWEET, SSN, Time, and Space. METHONTOLOGY was the preferred design methodology, and for evaluation, application-based or data-based approaches were preferred. The majority of the ontologies were built around the response phase of the disaster. The unavailability of the full ontologies somewhat restricted the current study as the structural ontology metrics are missing. But the scientific community, the developers, of flood disaster management systems can refer to this work for their research to see what is available in the literature on flood ontology and the other major domains essential in building the FO.

Roszkowski, Marcin. 2020. “The Sociological and Ontological Dimensions of the Knowledge Organization Domain on Google Scholar Citations.” *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 160-172. 35 references. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-160.

Abstract: This study aims to identify the profiles of researchers in the knowledge organization domain on Google Scholar Citations (GSC) and investigate its sociological and ontological dimensions. The sociological dimension is related to GSC users who declared research interests that fall within the scope of the knowledge organization domain. The ontological dimension is based on the study of these concepts. Domain analysis was used as a methodological framework for this study. A search was conducted on GSC using keywords in order to create a list of scholars who declared the knowledge organization domain as one of their research interests in their Google Scholar Profiles (GSPs). Next, the search for GSPs of authors who had published their papers in the *Knowledge Organization* journal from 2000 to 2019 was conducted. The results showed that there were 379 publicly available GSPs. Analysis of the affiliated institutions showed that the majority of them were based respectively in the USA, Brazil, and then in India. The ontological dimension of the knowledge organization domain on GSC was examined by studying key-

words attached to GSPs. The most frequently used keywords were identified and using network analysis five clusters that represented the main areas of interest were extracted.

Ohly, Peter. 2020. "Ingetraut Dahlberg (1927-2017)." *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 173-182. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-173.

Abstract: Dr. Ingetraut Dahlberg essentially introduced and shaped the term "knowledge organization." She also was the main engine in the founding of the scientific associations *Society for Classification* and *International Society for Knowledge Organization* as well as the journals *International Classification* and *Knowledge Organization*. In 2017, Ingetraut Dahlberg died at the age of ninety years. Some life data and scientific contributions are presented here.

Dunn, Heather and Paul Bourcier. 2020. "Nomenclature for Museum Cataloging." *Knowledge Organization* 47(2): 183-194. 22 references. DOI:10.5771/0943-7444-2020-2-183.

Abstract: We present an overview of *Nomenclature's* history, characteristics, structure, use, management, development process, limitations, and future. *Nomenclature for Museum Cataloging* is a bilingual (English/French) structured and controlled list of object terms organized in a classification system to provide a basis for indexing and cataloging collections of human-made objects. It includes illustrations and bibliographic references as well as a user guide. It is used in the creation and management of object records in human history collections within museums and other organizations, and it focuses on objects relevant to North American history and culture. First published in 1978, *Nomenclature* is the most extensively used museum classification and controlled vocabulary for historical and ethnological collections in North America and represents thereby a *de facto* standard in the field. An online reference version of *Nomenclature* was made available in 2018, and it will be available under open license in 2020.