#### GUIDELINES FOR WRITING REVIEWS FOR KNOWLEDGE ORGANIZATION

The Reviews section of *Knowledge Organization* exists for sharing analyses of new resources in ko together with a survey of the literature to which the new resource belongs. We prefer reviews to be written in the style of a bibliographic essay, including citations and commentary about not only the new resource but also other resources like it, both recent and past. Authors are encouraged to be imaginative when pairing works for such an essay; the reviews editor also may be consulted for advice.

## Good examples are:

## Melodie Fox 40 no. 1

Szostak, Rick. 2003. *A Schema for Unifying Human Science: Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Culture.* Selinsgrove, PA: Susquehanna UP – Szostak, Rick. 2004. *Classifying science: Phenomena, Data, Theory, Method, Practice.* Norwell, MA: Springer

# Birger Hjørland 35 no. 4

Eric R. Scerri. *The Periodic Table: Its Story and Its Significance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007. xxii, 346 pages. ISBN-13: 978-0-19-530573-9 Marc Ereshefsky. *The Poverty of the Linnaean Hierarchy: A Philosophical Study of Biological Taxonomy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007. x, 316 p. ISBN-13: 978-0-521-03883-6

Rachel Cooper. *Classifying Madness: A Philosophical Examination of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Berlin: Springer, 2005. vii, 172 p. (Philosophy and Medicine, vol. 86). ISBN: 978-1-4020-3344-5 (hbk.)

### Elizabeth Milonas 40 no. 2

The Disorder of Things: Metaphysical Foundations of the Disunity of Science by John Dupré. Massachusetts; London: Harvard University Press, 1993, 308p. ISBN0-674-21261-4 (Hb); and Human Nature and the Limits of Science by John Dupré. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2001, 201p. ISBN 0-19-926550-X (Pb)

Reviews should be prepared according to *KO*'s instructions for authors, using standard formatting procedures. A review essay should not be longer than ten double-spaced pages in length (approximately 3000 words).